Firstly, I want to know what the club is going to be called.

Secondly, we've got enough clubs on that street, and I don't want any more chewing gum on the pavement, vomit on the pavement, glass on the pavement, and an increase in any disruption pervading the environment.

This building would be within about 20 metres of the Foundry, where I live, and would increase the problems of noise to an unacceptable extent. The next nearest noise coming from Hammerton Street is from Projekt which is a good few metres further down and from Hargreaves Street which is at least protected by the buildings in between. But this would be right in the face what has the potential to be severe disruption to a sleep till 3.30 in the morning seven days a week, and to my ability to enjoy a peaceful evening in, in the height of summer, with the windows open. That there is the use of outdoor space adds to the problem.

Thirdly, and most seriously, the submission is vacuous and gives no clear indication of how safety and public nuisance (two essential requirements the applicant has to prove in order to satisfy the implementation of the 2003 Act) is going to be effective. They rely for their monitoring activity on CCTV, which is fine for picking up what happens after the event, but not preventing it in the first place.

There is a complete lack of listing the so-called non-mandatory conditions by which one can evaluate and assess the impact the actual club will have on residents. These conditions should have a list of actions which make it absolutely clear what measures they're going to put in place prevent any noise emanating from the building. Phrases like.... Although it is not our intention to have live music all the time, we would like to keep our options open... just give license to behave badly.

What I would want to see is, how is it going to soundproof the building so that any noise is kept within. The doors are closed at all times when music is playing. No music should be heard on the outdoor space etc etc.

Regardless of how their promissory statements are going to prevent public nuisance and public safety, I still object to an increase in the club environment on Hammerton Street, and a request for the license application to be refused.

Firstly, I want to know what the club is going to be called.

Secondly, we've got enough clubs on that street, and I don't want any more chewing gum on the pavement, vomit on the pavement, glass on the pavement, and an increase in any disruption pervading the environment.

This building would be within about 20 metres of the Foundry, where I live, and would increase the problems of noise to an unacceptable extent. The next nearest noise coming from Hammerton Street is from Projekt which is a good few metres further down and from Hargreaves Street which is at least protected by the buildings in between. But this would be right in the face what has the potential to be severe disruption to a sleep till 3.30 in the of summer, with the windows open. That there is the use of outdoor space adds to the problem.

Thirdly, and most seriously, the submission is vacuous and gives no clear indication of how safety and public nuisance (two essential requirements the applicant has to prove in order to satisfy the implementation of the 2003 Act) is going to be effective. They rely for their monitoring activity on CCTV, which is fine for picking up what happens after the event, but not preventing it in the first place.

There is a complete lack of listing the so-called non-mandatory conditions by which one can evaluate and assess the impact the actual club will have on residents. These conditions should have a list of actions which make it absolutely clear what measures they're going to put in place prevent any noise emanating from the building. Phrases like.... Although it is not our intention to have live music all the time, we would like to keep our options open... just give license to behave badly.

What I would want to see is, how is it going to soundproof the building so that any noise is kept within. The doors are closed at all times when music is playing. No music should be heard on the outdoor space etc etc.

Regardless of how their promissory statements are going to prevent public nuisance and public safety, I still object to an increase in the club environment on Hammerton Street, and a request for the license application to be refused.

Firstly, I want to know what the club is going to be called.

Secondly, we've got enough clubs on that street, and I don't want any more chewing gum on the pavement, vomit on the pavement, glass on the pavement, and an increase in any disruption pervading the environment.

This building would be within about 20 metres of the Foundry, where I live, and would increase the problems of noise to an unacceptable extent. The next nearest noise coming from Hammerton Street is from Projekt which is a good few metres further down and from Hargreaves Street which is at least protected by the buildings in between. But this would be right in the face what has the potential to be severe disruption to a sleep till 3.30 in the morning seven days a week, and to my ability to enjoy a peaceful evening in, in the height of summer, with the windows open. That there is the use of outdoor space adds to the problem.

Thirdly, and most seriously, the submission is vacuous and gives no clear indication of how safety and public nuisance (two essential requirements the applicant has to prove in order to satisfy the implementation of the 2003 Act) is going to be effective. They rely for their monitoring activity on CCTV, which is fine for picking up what happens after the event, but not preventing it in the first place.

There is a complete lack of listing the so-called non-mandatory conditions by which one can evaluate and assess the impact the actual club will have on residents. These conditions should have a list of actions which make it absolutely clear what measures they're going to put in place prevent any noise emanating from the building. Phrases like.....Although it is not our intention to have live music all the time, we would like to keep our options open... just give license to behave badly.

What I would want to see is, how is it going to soundproof the building so that any noise is kept within. The doors are closed at all times when music is playing. No music should be heard on the outdoor space etc etc.

Regardless of how their promissory statements are going to prevent public nuisance and public safety, I still object to an increase in the club environment on Hammerton Street, and a request for the license application to be refused.

Firstly, I want to know what the club is going to be called.

Secondly, we've got enough clubs on that street, and I don't want any more chewing gum on the pavement, vomit on the pavement, glass on the pavement, and an increase in any disruption pervading the environment.

This building would be within about 20 metres of the Foundry, where I live, and would increase the problems of noise to an unacceptable extent. The next nearest noise coming from Hammerton Street is from Projekt which is a good few metres further down and from Hargreaves Street which is at least protected by the buildings in between. But this would be right in the face what has the potential to be severe disruption to a sleep till 3.30 in the morning seven days a week, and to my ability to enjoy a peaceful evening in, in the height of summer, with the windows open. That there is the use of outdoor space adds to the problem.

Thirdly, and most seriously, the submission is vacuous and gives no clear indication of how safety and public nuisance (two essential requirements the applicant has to prove in order to satisfy the implementation of the 2003 Act) is going to be effective. They rely for their monitoring activity on CCTV, which is fine for picking up what happens after the event, but not preventing it in the first place.

There is a complete lack of listing the so-called non-mandatory conditions by which one can evaluate and assess the impact the actual club will have on residents. These conditions should have a list of actions which make it absolutely clear what measures they're going to put in place prevent any noise emanating from the building. Phrases like.... Although it is not our intention to have live music all the time, we would like to keep our options open... just give license to behave badly.

What I would want to see is, how is it going to soundproof the building so that any noise is kept within. The doors are closed at all times when music is playing. No music should be heard on the outdoor space etc etc.

Regardless of how their promissory statements are going to prevent public nuisance and public safety, I still object to an increase in the club environment on Hammerton Street, and a request for the license application to be refused.

Firstly, I want to know what the club is going to be called.

Secondly, we've got enough clubs on that street, and I don't want any more chewing gum on the pavement, vomit on the pavement, glass on the pavement, and an increase in any disruption pervading the environment.

This building would be within about 20 metres of the Foundry, where I live, and would increase the problems of noise to an unacceptable extent. The next nearest noise coming from Hammerton Street is from Projekt which is a good few metres further down and from Hargreaves Street which is at least protected by the buildings in between. But this would be right in the face what has the potential to be severe disruption to a sleep till 3.30 in the of summer, with the windows open. That there is the use of outdoor space adds to the problem.

Thirdly, and most seriously, the submission is vacuous and gives no clear indication of how safety and public nuisance (two essential requirements the applicant has to prove in order to satisfy the implementation of the 2003 Act) is going to be effective. They rely for their monitoring activity on CCTV, which is fine for picking up what happens after the event, but not preventing it in the first place.

There is a complete lack of listing the so-called non-mandatory conditions by which one can evaluate and assess the impact the actual club will have on residents. These conditions should have a list of actions which make it absolutely clear what measures they're going to put in place prevent any noise emanating from the building. Phrases like.... Although it is not our intention to have live music all the time, we would like to keep our options open... just give license to behave badly.

What I would want to see is, how is it going to soundproof the building so that any noise is kept within. The doors are closed at all times when music is playing. No music should be heard on the outdoor space etc etc.

Regardless of how their promissory statements are going to prevent public nuisance and public safety, I still object to an increase in the club environment on Hammerton Street, and a request for the license application to be refused.

Firstly, I want to know what the club is going to be called.

Secondly, we've got enough clubs on that street, and I don't want any more chewing gum on the pavement, vomit on the pavement, glass on the pavement, and an increase in any disruption pervading the environment.

This building would be within about 20 metres of the Foundry, where I live, and would increase the problems of noise to an unacceptable extent. The next nearest noise coming from Hammerton Street is from Projekt which is a good few metres further down and from Hargreaves Street which is at least protected by the buildings in between. But this would be right in the face what has the potential to be severe disruption to a sleep till 3.30 in the morning seven days a week, and to my ability to enjoy a peaceful evening in, in the height of summer, with the windows open. That there is the use of outdoor space adds to the problem. At XX of age, some peace at home is essential to me.

Thirdly, and most seriously, the submission is vacuous and gives no clear indication of how safety and public nuisance (two essential requirements the applicant has to prove in order to satisfy the implementation of the 2003 Act) is going to be effective. They rely for their monitoring activity on CCTV, which is fine for picking up what happens after the event, but not preventing it in the first place.

There is a complete lack of listing the so-called non-mandatory conditions by which one can evaluate and assess the impact the actual club will have on residents. These conditions should have a list of actions which make it absolutely clear what measures they're going to put in place prevent any noise emanating from the building. Phrases like.... Although it is not our intention to have live music all the time, we would like to keep our options open... just give license to behave badly.

What I would want to see is, how is it going to soundproof the building so that any noise is kept within. The doors are closed at all times when music is playing. No music should be heard on the outdoor space etc etc.

Regardless of how their promissory statements are going to prevent public nuisance and public safety, I still object to an increase in the club environment on Hammerton Street, and a request for the license application to be refused.

To whom it may concern

We are horrified to find that there is possibly going to be a further nightclub less than 25 meters from our home.

We are currently struggling with all the noise, anti social behaviour caused by the current premises. We are both XX & my XXXX is registered blind. We struggle with all the broken glass, food refuse, and sick individuals urinating & smashing glad. Throwing waste & even smashing my flower pots after jumping over the wall.

We do not understand why the licensing panels would even consider more as the situation is already out of control. We live in fear at night if we return late to our apartments and in the morning we are left to face all the mess created. Despite conditions being placed on other venues they are never followed Please could you recognise this is also a residential area and we need fair and reasonable treatment as other residents of Burnley.

Many thanks